The state of the s	EB 0 1 2008	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	DEMELLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/904,299	07/31/1997	ROBERT M. LUNDBERG		3733
PAUL L BRO	7590 01/22/2008 W/N	EXAMINER		
EMRICH AND DITHMAR SUITE 300 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606			KAMEN, NOAH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PAUL L BROWN
EMRICH AND DITHMAR
SUITE 3000
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE
CHICAGO IL 60606

COPY MAILED

JUN 2 6 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Robert M. Lundberg. Application No. 08/904,299 Filed: July 31, 1997 Attorney Docket No: 101AB

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed February 25, 2002 by facsimile transmission and followed up with hard copy March 11, 2002 filed by first class mail. The petition seeks to have the abandonment withdrawn and is thus treated under 37 CFR 1.181, in accordance with the reasoning of the decision in <u>Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler</u>, 172 USPQ 513.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on October 28, 2001, for failure to file a timely response to the Final Office Action mailed July 27, 2001, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. The instant petition and this decision precede the mailing of the Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner asserts that the Final Office Action was never received.

Although, all documents filed by the applicant always listed the correspondence address as PAUL L BROWN, EMRICH AND DITHMAR, SUITE 3000, 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE, CHICAGO, IL 60606, a data entry error on the part of the USPTO entered the address with a suite number of "300" instead of "3000". And, although all correspondence from the USPTO to the applicants always bore an incorrect suite number, applicants always seemed to receive the mail even with the incorrect address on it. However, petitioners should not have to bear the brunt of the errors caused by the USPTO and thus, in view of the facts set forth in the petition, it is concluded that the Office Action was incorrectly addressed and never received at the address of record. Accordingly, the holding of abandonment is withdrawn and no petition fee is due.

The address has been corrected to include the correct suite number.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3747 for a re-mailing of the Final Office Action and for a restarting of the period for response.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	(بب)	
Office Action Summary	08/904,299	LUNDBERG, ROBERT M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Noah Kamen	3747
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address **
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from statute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 July 2001	
, _	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	llowance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-12 and 16-22</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 17-22</u> is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6, 10-12, 15, 16</u> is/are rejected	· .	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) \square objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _		roved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the application for a second content of the action for a second conten	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	(8) 5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)



Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grennan (fig.2).

Grennan shows all of the recited elements except the capacity of the generator 166. Sizing the generator would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art according to the supply system size and the degree of peak capacity. Furthermore, the use of gauges, valves and appropriate controls are inherently necessary for the proper operation of Grennan.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant comments that Grennan compresses gas during off peak electricity utilization, that the distribution lines are not satellites, that the expansion and compression trains are both coupled to motor/generator 166, usage of motors and generators to control the compression stage of a system as well as the utilization of shafts and clutches is antithetical to the claimed invention because it results in expensive generation of electricity and fuel losses, that the compression is limited in time and the generation functions can operate only when the compressing section is shut off.



Art Unit: 3747

The examiner contends that the claims do not prohibit the compression of gas during off peak utilization and the usage of motor/generator with clutches to control the compression stage. The arguments of the compression being limited in time and the generation function operating when the compressing section is shut off are not germane to the claims. Grennan clearly indicates that there are a number of distribution lines connected to the transmission line. Each distribution line is connected via the expansion/compression system. The mere recitation of "satellites" is broad enough to read on the distribution lines.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 703 308 1945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 308 1946. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 08/904,299

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746 4547 for regular communications and 308 7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308 0861.

Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

nk July 26, 2001

Organization 76.37 & Bldg./Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Difficial Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

000424975 JAN 23 2008 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

FEB **01** 2008

USPTO MAIL CENTER

MHXHM

DE T 505

00 01/29/08 AETURN TO SENDER ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN UNABLE TO FORWARD

*0217-08122-29-35

BC: 22313145050

GOEOGREPAST SELFEO